

---

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

---

---

|                          |   |                                |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | : | Hon. Douglas E. Arpert         |
|                          | : |                                |
| v.                       | : | Mag. No. 21-6030-02 (DEA)      |
|                          | : |                                |
| JOSEPH SHAW              | : | <b>ORDER FOR A CONTINUANCE</b> |

This matter having come before the Court on the joint application of Philip R. Sellinger, United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (by Eric A. Boden, Assistant United States Attorney), and defendant Joseph Shaw (by Mark Davis, Esq.), for an order granting a continuance of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter from the date this Order is signed through January 31, 2023, to permit defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation in this matter and to allow the parties to discuss the matter and conduct plea negotiations in an effort to resolve the case before grand jury proceedings and trial; and the defendant being aware that he has the right to have the matter submitted to a grand jury within 30 days of the date of his arrest pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b); and six prior continuances having been ordered by the Court on July 26, 2021, November 2, 2021, January 28, 2022, March 30, 2022, May 31, 2022, and September 2, 2022; and Standing Order 2021-11 issued by the Chief Judge for the District of New Jersey on December 29, 2021, among other directives, excluding certain delineated time under the Speedy Trial Act; and

the defendant, through his attorney, having consented to the continuance; and for good and sufficient cause shown,

IT IS THE FINDING OF THIS COURT that this action should be continued for the following reasons:

(1) The parties anticipate entering into plea negotiations, which would render any grand jury proceedings and any subsequent trial of this matter unnecessary;

(2) The failure to grant such a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence;

(3) The grant of a continuance will likely conserve judicial resources;

(4) The defendant consents to the continuance; and

(5) As a result of the foregoing, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

WHEREFORE, it is on this 30th day of November, 2022;

ORDERED that this action be, and it hereby is, continued from the date this Order is signed through January 31, 2023; and it is further

ORDERED that the period from the date this Order is signed through January 31, 2023 shall be excludable in computing time under the Speedy Trial Act of 1974.



---

HON. DOUGLES E. ARPERT  
United States Magistrate Judge

Form and entry consented to:

*Eric A. Boden*

---

Eric A. Boden  
Assistant United States Attorney

*Mark G. Davis*

---

Mark Davis, Esq.  
Counsel for Defendant Joseph Shaw